1	TERRY GODDARD	
2	Attorney General (Firm State Bar No. 14000)	
3	ELIZABETH A. CAMPBELL	
4	Assistant Attorney General State Bar No. 018311 1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES	
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997 Tel: (602) 542-7979	
6	Fax: (602) 364-3202	
7	Attorneys for the Arizona State Board of Pharmacy	
8	BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
9	DEFORE THE ARIZONA STA	TE DOARD OF THARMACT
10	In the Matter of	
11	RAKESH DARAM,	Board Case No. 09-0030-PHR
12	Holder of License No. S16559 As a Pharmacist	CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR CONTINUING EDUCATION
13	In the State of Arizona	
14		
15	RECITALS	
16	In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of this case, consistent with the	
17	public interest, statutory requirements and the responsibilities of the Arizona State Board	
18	of Pharmacy ("Board") under A.R.S. § 32-1901, et. seq., Rakesh Daram ("Respondent"),	
19	holder of Pharmacist License Number S16559 in the State of Arizona, and the Board	
20	enter into the following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	
21	("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.	
22	1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement and has had	
23	the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney, or has waived the	
24	opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney.	
25		

- 2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning the above-captioned matter, at which hearing he could present evidence and cross examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent knowingly and voluntarily relinquishes all right to such an administrative hearing, as well as rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Respondent affirmatively agrees that this Consent Agreement shall be irrevocable.
- 4. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action by the Board against him.
- 5. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board Complaint No. 3603 involving allegations of unprofessional conduct against Respondent. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 6. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of any other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding.
- 7. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision, or officer of this State from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.
- 8. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, he may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the

document regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been signed by the Executive Director. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually agreed by the parties in writing.

- 9. Respondent understands that the Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until adopted by the Board and signed by its Executive Director.
- 10. If a court of competent jurisdiction rules that any part of this Consent Agreement is void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Consent Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
- 11. Respondent understands and agrees that if the Board does not adopt this Consent Agreement, he will not assert as a defense that the Board's consideration of this Consent Agreement constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other similar defenses.
- 12. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board and may be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank.
- 13. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct and may result in disciplinary action. A.R.S. §§ 32-1901.01(B)(20), -1927(A)(1).

. . .

 $\| \dots \|$

. . .

. . .

ACCEPTED AND AGREED BY RESPONDENT

Rakesh Daram

Dated: 03-17-06

Subscribed and sworn to before me in the County of Manage, State of this day of Masse, 2009, by Rakesh Daram.



My Commission expires:

01-09-204

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for licensing and regulating the practice of pharmacy in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of license number S16559 to practice as a pharmacist in the State of Arizona.
- 3. During all relevant times to these findings, Respondent worked as a pharmacist at CVS Pharmacy #5937 (the "Pharmacy") in Glendale, Arizona.
- 4. In July 2008 the complainant had a prescription for morphine filled at the Pharmacy. The prescription had been written for morphine 30 mg without mentioning the release form. The complainant's doctor was not contacted to find out whether he intended the IR or ER formulation.
- 5. The prescription should have been filled with morphine ER 30 mg.

 However, Respondent filled the prescription with morphine IR 30 mg. Respondent

misread or misinterpreted notations on the hardcopy prescription regarding quantity as an approval to dispense the immediate release formulation.

6. The complainant took the medication as dispensed and was hospitalized as a result.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901 et seq.
- 2. The Board may discipline a pharmacist who has engaged in unprofessional conduct. A.R.S. § 32-1927(A)(1).
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described above constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(B)(2) (Violating any federal or state law, rule or regulation relating to the manufacture or distribution of drugs and devices or the practice of pharmacy).
- 4. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1901.01(B)(10) (Violating a federal or state law or administrative rule relating to marijuana, prescription-only drugs, narcotics, dangerous drugs, controlled substances or precursor chemicals when determined by the Board or by conviction in a federal or state court).
- 5. The conduct described above violated Arizona Administrative Code R4-23-402(A)(7) (A pharmacist shall interpret the prescription order, which includes exercising professional judgment in determining whether to dispense a particular prescription).
- 6. The conduct described above violated Arizona Administrative Code R4-23-402(A)(10)(b) (A pharmacist shall check the prescription order date entry to ensure that

1	the data input is for the correct drug by verifying the drug name, strength, and dosage	
2	form).	
3	7. The conduct described above violated Arizona Administrative Code R4-23-	
4	402(A)(10)(c) (A pharmacist shall check the prescription order data entry to ensure tha	
5	the data input communicates the prescriber's directions precisely by verifying dose	
6	dosage form, route of administration, dosing frequency, and quantity).	
7	8. The conduct described above violated Arizona Administrative Code R4-23-	
8	402(A)(11) (A pharmacist shall make a final accuracy check on the completed	
9	prescription medication).	
10	<u>ORDER</u>	
11	Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, IT IS HEREBY	
12	ORDERED THAT within 60 days of the effective date of this Order Respondent shall	
13	successfully complete and provide proof of successful completion to the Board of eight	
14	(8) contact hours (0.8 C.E.U.) of American Council on Pharmaceutical Education	
15	(ACPE) courses. The courses shall be pre-approved by Board staff, shall be limited to	
16	topics dealing with pain management, and shall be in addition to the requirements of	
17	A.R.S. § 32-1936 and A.A.C. R4-23-204.	
18	in the same of the	
19	DATED this day of, 2009.	
20		
21	ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
22	(Seal)	
23	By: HAL WAND, R.Ph. Executive Director	
24		
25	DACOULT O DI COLO	